





S2-only and Accidental Coincidece Backgrounds in XENON1T/nT

Fei Gao, Tsinghua University feigao@tsinghua.edu.cn

on behalf of XENON Collaboration

Nagoya Workshop on Technology and instrumentation in Future Liquid Noble gas Detectors Feb 14 - 16, 2024, Nagoya, Japan

Dark Matter and Neutrino Signals in LXeTPC

PHYSICAL REVIEW D

VOLUME 31, NUMBER 12

15 JUNE 1985

Detectability of certain dark-matter candidates

Mark W. Goodman and Edward Witten Joseph Henry Laboratories, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544 (Received 7 January 1985)

We consider the possibility that the neutral-current neutrino detector recently proposed by Drukier and Stodolsky could be used to detect some possible candidates for the dark matter in galactic halos. This may be feasible if the galactic halos are made of particles with coherent weak interactions and masses $1-10^6$ GeV; particles with spin-dependent interactions of typical weak strength and masses $1-10^2$ GeV; or strongly interacting particles of masses $1-10^{13}$ GeV.





DM

Light DM Search with Single-Electrons (SEs)



Analysis using SEs pushes the threshold down to W value

PRD 106, 022001 (2022)

SEs in XENON1T



SEs in XENON1T



SEs are spacially and temporally corrlated with Primary S2

PRD 106, 022001 (2022)

SEs in XENON1T



PRD 106, 022001 (2022)

Additional SE source in XENONnT



Events with S2 > 4 electrons in XENON1T



We don't fully understand the background in this

energy region yet

PRL 123, 251801 (2019)

A few comments on S2-only Background

The S2-only background is observed in a large energy range. Its causes include:

- Photon-ionization of impurities, metals, etc
- Delayed electrons from interactions in the DRIFT region
- Hot spots due to local field effects?
- Surface radioactivities on the electrodes

An incomplete understanding of S2-only backgrounds limits the discovery potential of LXeTPC for light DM

- Can hardly be predicted before an experiment is built
- Currently, attentions are paid to purity, E-fields, surface treatment

R&D effort might be especially useful!

Accidental Coincidence Background



XENONnT WIMPs Search Analysis

Sources	Nominal	Best Fit	
	ROI		Signal Like
ER	134	135+12-11	0.81±0.07
Neutron	1.1+0.6-0.5	1.1 ± 0.4	0.42±0.20
Neutrino	0.23±0.06	0.23±0.06	0.02±0.01
AC	4.3±0.2	4.3±0.2	0.36±0.01
Surface	14±3	12+0-4	0.34+0.01-0.11
Total	154	152±12	1.95+0.12-0.16
Data		152	3

S1 threshold: 3 PMT coincidence!

S2 threshold: 200PE (~7 electrons)

AC is highly suppressed with higher S1 and S2 threshold, but not negligible!





AC Suppression – Shadow Effects



AC Suppression – Shadow Effects



AC Suppression — Shadow Effects



Time difference between IsoS1 and primary S2 [ms]

before Shadow&Ambience cut — Disk Line Picking - after Shadow&Ambience cut CE*v*NS / WIMP acceptance **XENON** 10 0.1 Rate [Hz·cm⁻¹] ΞŤ 10^{-2} 10 Ω 10 60 80 20 40 100 0 Distance between IsoS2 and primary S2 [cm]

After these cuts, the isolated peaks are not as correlated to the primary S2s

Accidental Coincidence Background



AC Suppression — S1 and S2 Correlations



Impact of Anti-AC Cuts on WIMPs Search



Validation of AC Background



A Cartoon of an AC validation sample with ³⁷Ar calibration

For Validation, AC background shall dominate in a data sample.

Achieved with dedicated samples:

- Artificial long drift time
- S2 range (eg < 200PE)
- Events tagged by Anti-AC cuts (Sideband)

Validation of AC Background (37Ar)

S2 range: 200-400 PE



Sideband

AC background prediction is validated with

precission bettern than 5%!

Validation of AC Background



Background - Sideband

AC background prediction is validated with precission bettern than 20%!

A few comments on AC Background

AC background is becoming more and more complicated in large LXeTPCs. Complicated nature (competing peaks/environments) -> simple calculation doesn't work, modeling is very time consupming. Need to understand the correlation between peaks -> Hardware high energy veto can not be applied -> data rate/storage challenge Hard to model when density of SEs is too high -> low

background/calibration rate

AC is currently a data-driven background model.

Needs special attention against mismodeling!

Can hardly be predicted before experiment is built -> need to understand its origin better for a G3 LXeTPC

R&D effort might be especially difficult!

Search for ⁸B CEvNS with XENON1T



Search for ⁸B CEvNS with XENON1T

Analysis ROI

- S1: 2 or 3 hits
- S2: 120 500 PE
- 0.6 t-y of exposure -> significantly improved in XENONnT

Source	Expectation	
CEvNS	2.11	
Accidental	5.14	
ER	0.21	
Radiogenic	0.03	
Total	7.65	
Observed	6	



We aim to make the signal to background ratio in XENONnT comparable to XENON1T, and observe the ⁸B CEvNS with a larger exposure!

Summary

• The S2-only background is a limiting factor for light DM search.

However, we don't have a full picture of it yet.

- The Accidental Coincidence background can be modeled well in a datadriven way but still with challenges
- The key problem is how to project these backgrounds for a G3 LXeTPC, thus we cannot assure its reduction.
- But, we cannot simply wait to see it either.

Thanks for your attention!

http://xenonexperiment.org

The XENON Collaboration

