# New physics and muon g-2

Kei Yamamoto Hiroshima Institute of Technology → Iwate University

International Physics School : Simon Eidelman School on Muon Dipole Moments and Hadronic Effects Sep 2-6, 2024



### Preface

Though all phenomena seems to be well described by the Standard Model, it should be regarded as an <u>effective theory</u> of more fundamental theory

Flavor puzzle, Neutrino, Hierarchy problem, DM, BAU,

Indirect searches are complementary to direct searches at the LHC and probe New physics at high energy scale which is not accessible at collider

Energy frontier	Intensity frontier
LHC at high-pT	Flavor physics

Flavor physics play important role of probing NP

Muon g-2 anomaly provides the most longstanding hint of New Physics



### Introduction of muon g-2

New physics interpretation of muon g-2

Flavor symmetry and muon g-2

Summary



### Introduction of muon g-2

### New physics interpretation of muon g-2

### Flavor symmetry and muon g-2

Summary

#### Anomalous magnetic moment in classical description

Interaction of spin  $\overrightarrow{S}$  with magnetic field  $\overrightarrow{B}$ 

$$\mathcal{H} = -\vec{\mu_{\ell}} \cdot \vec{B} \qquad (\ell = e, \mu, \tau)$$

 $g_\ell \neq 2$ 

magnetic moment (magnetic dipole moment)  $\overrightarrow{\mu} \propto \overrightarrow{S}$ 

$$\vec{\mu}_{\ell} = g_{\ell} \frac{e}{2m_{\ell}} \vec{S}$$

from Dirac eq.  $g_\ell=2$ 



 $a_{\ell} \equiv \frac{g_{\ell} - 2}{2}$ 

### Anomalous magnetic moment in QFT

Scattering amplitude of fermion f and electromagnetic field  $A_{\mu}$ 

$$i\mathcal{M}(2\pi)\delta(p^{0\prime}-p^{0}) = -ie\bar{u}(p^{\prime})\Gamma^{\mu}(p^{\prime},p)u(p)\cdot\widetilde{A}^{\mathrm{cl}}_{\mu}(p^{\prime}-p)$$

Gamma structure

q

\* in Parity conserving

$$\Gamma^{\mu} = \gamma^{\mu} \cdot A + (p'^{\mu} + p^{\mu}) \cdot B + (p'^{\mu} - p^{\mu}) \cdot C$$

$$\rightarrow 0$$

$$\rightarrow decomposed$$

$$by Gordon identity$$

$$by Ward identity$$

$$\bar{u}(p')\gamma^{\mu}u(p) = \bar{u}(p') \left[\frac{p'^{\mu} + p^{\mu}}{2m} + \frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_{\nu}}{2m}\right]u(p)$$

$$\Gamma^{\mu}(p',p) = \gamma^{\mu} F_{1}(q^{2}) + \frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_{\nu}}{2m} F_{2}(q^{2}) \qquad F_{1}, F_{2}: \text{form factors}$$
Tree level

at lowest order (tree level),  $\Gamma^{\mu} = \gamma^{\mu} \rightarrow F_1 = 1$ ,  $F_2 = 0$  $Q = -eF_1(0) \rightarrow$  charge renormalization condition,  $F_1(q^2 = 0) = 1$ 

### Anomalous magnetic moment in QFT

Relation between g-factor  $\leftrightarrow$  form factors  $F_1, F_2$ 



### Anomalous magnetic moment in QFT

Relation between g-factor  $\leftrightarrow$  form factors  $F_1, F_2$ 



anomalous magnetic moment

quantum loop effects

### Effective Lagrangian for anomalous magnetic moment



features of anomalous magnetic moment

Loop induced Chirality flip  $\sigma_{\mu\nu}$  dipole interaction  $\rightarrow$  induces a chirality flip  $\bar{\psi}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\psi \xrightarrow{\psi = \psi_L + \psi_R} \overline{\psi_L}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\psi_R + \overline{\psi_R}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\psi_L$ Spontaneously breaking of EW gauge interaction

 $\psi_L, \psi_R$ , no gauge invariant  $\rightarrow$  higgs in the SM

### muon g-2 anomaly







### Introduction of muon g-2

### New physics interpretation of muon g-2

### Flavor symmetry and muon g-2

### Summary

Review P.Athron, C.Balázs, D.H.J. Jacob, W. Kotlarski, D.Stöckinger, H. Stöckinger-Kim <u>2104.03691</u> Crivellin, Hoferichter <u>1905.03789</u>

### **New physics interpretation**

Large positive NP effect is needed: deviation is larger than EW correction

$$\Delta a_{\mu} \equiv a_{\mu}^{\exp} - a_{\mu}^{SM} = (24.9 \pm 4.8) \times 10^{-10} > a_{\mu}^{EW} \simeq 15.4 \times 10^{-10}$$
2023 world ave. White Paper
5.1  $\sigma$  discrepancy

No new particles have been discovered in EW scale

→ Need mechanism to enhance contribution to muon g-2 <u>enhancement mechanism</u> or <u>Light NP particle</u>

### New physics interpretation

NP effect

$$a_{\mu}^{NP} \sim \frac{g_{NP}^2}{16\pi^2} \frac{m_{\mu}^2}{M_{NP}^2}$$

 $g_{NP}$  : NP coupling  $M_{NP}$ : mass of new particle

Large  $g_{NP}$  or Light  $M_{NP}$ 

→ Need mechanism to enhance contribution to muon g-2 <u>enhancement mechanism</u> or <u>Light NP particle</u>

> Heavy NP particle Large coupling

Light NP particle tiny coupling

### New physics interpretation

Typical NP scale and coupling

$$a_{\mu}^{NP} \sim \frac{g_{NP}^2}{16\pi^2} \frac{m_{\mu}^2}{M_{NP}^2} = \Delta a_{\mu} = 24.9 \times 10^{-10}$$

 $\rightarrow M_{NP} \sim g_{NP} \times 150 \text{ GeV}$ 

 $M_{NP} \sim \mathcal{O}(1) \text{ TeV}$  $g_{NP} \sim \mathcal{O}(10)$ 

Heavy NP particle Large coupling  $M_{NP} \sim \mathcal{O}(100) \text{ MeV}$  $g_{NP} \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$ 

Light NP particle tiny coupling



Many models relevant for muon g-2

### Fine tuning in the muon mass

NP on muon g-2 also contribute to muon mass in similar loops

Dipole operator

 $\mu_{L/R} / \mu_{R/L}$ 

muon g-2



 $M_{\rm BSM} \lesssim 2 {
m TeV}$ 



Yukawa

muon mass  $m_{\mu}$ 



To avoid fine tuning in the muon mass, i.e., do not exceed the actual muon mass

## New physics possibility

single field extension	Model	Spin	$SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$	Result for $\Delta a_{\mu}^{\text{BNL}}$ , $\Delta a_{\mu}^{2021}$
0	1	0	(1, 1, 1)	Excluded: $\Delta a_{\mu} < 0$
	2	0	( <b>1</b> , <b>1</b> ,2)	Excluded: $\Delta a_{\mu} < 0$
2HDM	3	0	$({f 1},{f 2},-1/2)$	Updated in Sec. 3.2
Scalar leptoquarks(LQ)	4	0	$({f 1},{f 3},-1)$	Excluded: $\Delta a_{\mu} < 0$
	5	0	$(\overline{3},1,1/3) S_1 \mathbf{LQ}$	Updated Sec. 3.3.
	6	0	$(\overline{3}, 1, 4/3)$	Excluded: LHC searches
	7	0	$(\overline{3},3,1/3)$	Excluded: LHC searches
	8	0	$(3, 2, 7/6) \ R_2 LQ$	Updated Sec. 3.3.
Dark photon and dark Z	9	0	(3, 2, 1/6)	Excluded: LHC searches
	10	1/2	$({f 1},{f 1},0)$	Excluded: $\Delta a_{\mu} < 0$
	11	1/2	(1, 1, -1)	Excluded: $\Delta a_{\mu}$ too small
	12	1/2	$({f 1},{f 2},-1/2)$	Excluded: LEP lepton mixing
	13	1/2	$({f 1},{f 2},-3/2)$	Excluded: $\Delta a_{\mu} < 0$
	14	1/2	(1, 3, 0)	Excluded: $\Delta a_{\mu} < 0$
	15	1/2	(1, 3, -1)	Excluded: $\Delta a_{\mu} < 0$
	16	1	(1, 1, 0)	Special cases viable
	17	1	$({f 1},{f 2},-3/2)$	UV completion problems
	18	1	(1, 3, 0)	Excluded: LHC searches
	19	1	$(\overline{3},1,-2/3)$	UV completion problems
	20	1	$(\overline{3},1,-5/3)$	Excluded: LHC searches
	21	1	$(\overline{f 3}, {f 2}, -5/6)$	UV completion problems
	22	1	$(\overline{3},2,1/6)$	Excluded: $\Delta a_{\mu} < 0$
	23	1	$(\bar{3}, 3, -2/3)$	Excluded: proton decay

Difficulty of g-2 explanation

I) negative contribution  $a_{\mu}^{NP} < 0$  : corrections only decrease muon g-2 II) Tension with collider experiments

### Leptoquarks

Leptoquarks couple to both lepton and quark together

Introduced in lepton and quark unified model e.g. Pati-Salam model

 $S_1$  and  $R_2$  LQ have both left- and right-handed couplings  $\rightarrow$  chirality flip enhancement



### Leptoquarks

P.Athron, C.Balázs, D.H.J. Jacob, W. Kotlarski, D. Stöckinger, H. Stöckinger-Kim 2104.03691

Chirality flipping enhancement  $\rightarrow$  can explain muon g-2 with large masses



### Supersymmetry

Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM) is one of attractive candidate of NP

> supersymmetry boson ↔ fermion



 $\Delta a_{\mu}$  could be explained with chirality flip *tan* $\beta$  enhancement

$$a_{\mu}^{SUSY} \sim \frac{g_{EW}^2}{16\pi^2} \frac{m_{\mu}^2}{M_{SUSY}^2} \tan\beta$$



### **Supersymmetry**

Endo, Hamaguchi, Iwamoto, Kitahara 2104.03217



### 2HDM

Iguro, Kitahara, Lang Takeuchi 2304.09887

Two Higgs doublet model (2HDM) : Neutral H, A and charged  $H^{\pm}$  higgs

To avoid tight constraints form flavor observables, need specific yukawa structure  $\rightarrow$  Type-X, flavor aligned

Light neutral pseudo scalar  $A (tan\beta)^2$  enhancement



<sup>2</sup> loop Barr-Zee diagram



excluded by flavor and collider bounds

## Z' new gauge boson

Additional  $U(I)_X$  gauge symmetry with Z' boson

- anomaly free X=B-L, B-3L<sub>e</sub>, B-3L<sub> $\mu$ </sub>, B-3L<sub> $\tau$ </sub>, L<sub>e</sub>-L<sub> $\mu$ </sub>, L<sub>e</sub>-L<sub> $\tau$ </sub>, L<sub> $\mu$ </sub>-L<sub> $\tau$ </sub>

for muon g-2, couple to muon not couple to electron



W.Altmannshofer, S.Gori, M.Pospelov, I.Yavin

interact only with 2nd & 3rd generation leptons

 $\rightarrow L_{\mu} - L_{\tau}$  model

Severely constrained by neutrino trident production



Light Z' boson can explain muon g-2

 $10~{\rm MeV} \lesssim m_{Z'} \lesssim 200~{\rm MeV}$ 



BBN





Effective field theory (EFT)

No new particles have been observed

Importance of approaches in effective theory that do not rely on model details

SM Effective Field Theory (SMEFT) enables parametrization of high-scale NP using SM fields

\* for light particle study, e.g. axion and g-2 in low-energy EFT Galda and Neubert 2308.01338

### **Effective field theory**

c.g.  $b \rightarrow cud decay$ 



## SM Effective Field Theory (SMEFT)

SMEFT is a effective theory based on  $SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$  at scale  $\mu_{\rm EW} < \mu < \mu_{\rm NP}$ 

Complete non-redundant classification of baryon- and lepton-number conserving dim6 operators (Warsaw basis)



## EFT and g-2

Aebischer, Dekens, Jenkins, Manohar, Sengupta, Stoffer, <u>2102.08954</u>

Isidori, Pages and Wilsch 2111.13724

For g-2



EFT and g-2
to the state of th
$\Delta a_{\mu} \simeq \frac{4n}{a}$
$\ell_{L} \approx \left(\frac{250 \operatorname{Te}_{L}}{\frac{250 \operatorname{Te}_{L}}{\sqrt{2}}}\right)^{2} C_{eq} - \frac{\ell_{L}}{0.2C_{Te}} + 0.001C_{Tc} - 0.00C_{eZ} \right) \ell_{eq} + \frac{\ell_{eq}}{\sqrt{2}} C_{eq} + \frac{\ell_{eq}}{\sqrt{2}} C_{$
Connection with Muon collider $\int_{\ell_{R}} \int_{\ell_{R}} \int_{\ell$
► Weakly coupled NP: $C_{e\gamma}^{\mu h}$ , $C_{T}^{\mu h} \leq 1/46\pi^2$ implying $\Lambda \leq 20$ TeV maybe within the $l_{R}$ $C_{T}^{\ell q}$ $C_{T}^{\ell q}$ $\bar{q}$ $\bar{q}$ $\bar{q}$ $\bar{q}$ $\bar{q}$ $\bar{q}$ $\bar{q}$
$\Delta a_{\mu} \sim \frac{m_{\mu}v}{\Lambda^2} C_{eV,T}  \iff  \sigma_{\mu\mu\to f} \sim \frac{s}{\Lambda^4}  C_{eV,T} ^2  (f = e\gamma, eZ, q\bar{q})$
$\bar{\ell}_R$ $\bar$

At high energy  $\sigma_{\mu\mu\rightarrow f}$  can compete with  $\Delta a_{\mu}$  to test the very same NP

### EFT and g-2

Aebischer, Dekens, Jenkins, Manohar, Sengupta, Stoffer, <u>2102.08954</u>

Isidori, Pages and Wilsch 2111.13724

From here on, the discussion is EFT-based and focus on LEFT dipole operator







$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Lepton flavor violation} \\ \mu \rightarrow e\gamma, \ \mu \rightarrow 3e, \ \mu N \rightarrow eN \\ \text{electron } (g-2)_e, \ \text{EDM } d_e \\ \mathcal{C}'_{e\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{C}'_{e\gamma} & \mathcal{C}'_{e\gamma} \\ e_e & \mathcal{C}'_{e\gamma} & \mathcal{C}'_{e\gamma} \\ e_e & \mathcal{C}'_{e\gamma} & \mathcal{C}'_{e\gamma} \\ \mu_\mu & \mathcal{C}'_{e\gamma} & \mathcal{C}'_{e\gamma} \\ \mu_\mu & \mathcal{C}'_{e\gamma} & \mathcal{C}'_{e\gamma} \\ \tau_\mu & \mathcal{C}'_{e\gamma} & \mathcal{C}'_{e\gamma} \\ \tau_\mu & \mathcal{C}'_{e\gamma} & \mathcal{C}'_{e\gamma} \\ \tau_\mu & \mathcal{C}'_{e\gamma} & \mathcal{C}'_{e\gamma} \\ \text{tau } (g-2)_{\tau}, \ \text{EDM } d_{\tau} \end{array}$$

once we introduce NP operator with flavor index, other flavor observables are also introduced



once we introduce NP operator with flavor index, other flavor observables are also introduced

diagonal elements -1 g-2 and EDM off diagonal elements  $\rightarrow$  Lepton flavor violation

### electron/tau g-2

In broad class of NP, contributions to  $a_\ell$  scale as

 $a_{\mu}^{NP} \sim \frac{g_{NP}^2}{16\pi^2} \frac{m_{\mu}^2}{M_{NP}^2}$ 

$$\frac{\Delta a_{\ell}}{\Delta a_{\ell}'} = \left(\frac{m_{\ell}}{m_{\ell'}}\right)^2$$

Naive scaling

$$\Delta a_e \approx \left(\frac{\Delta a_\mu}{2.5 \times 10^{-9}}\right) 6.3 \times 10^{-14} \qquad \Delta a_\tau \approx \left(\frac{\Delta a_\mu}{2.5 \times 10^{-9}}\right) 0.7 \times 10^{-6}$$

Exp

$$\Delta a_e^{Cs} = a_e^{\text{Exp}} - a_e^{\text{SM,CS}} = (-8.8 \pm 3.6) \times 10^{-13}$$
$$\Delta a_e^{Rb} = a_e^{\text{Exp}} - a_e^{\text{SM,Rb}} = (4.8 \pm 3.0) \times 10^{-13} .$$

 $a_{\tau} = -0.018 \pm 0.017$ 

DELPHI I. Abdallah et al

$$\mathscr{H} = -\overrightarrow{\mu_{\ell}} \cdot \overrightarrow{B} - \overrightarrow{d_{\ell}} \cdot \overrightarrow{E}$$

magnetic dipole moment

$$\vec{\mu}_{\ell} = g_{\ell} \frac{e}{2m_{\ell}} \vec{S}$$

$$\overrightarrow{d_{\ell}} = \eta_{\ell} \frac{e}{2m_{\ell}} \overrightarrow{S}$$



Time reversal



Time reversal

magnetic dipole moment

$$\vec{\mu_\ell} = g_\ell \frac{e}{2m_\ell} \vec{S}$$

electric dipole moment

$$\overrightarrow{d_{\ell}} = \eta_{\ell} \frac{e}{2m_{\ell}} \overrightarrow{S}$$

+



Time reversal

magnetic dipole moment

$$\vec{\mu}_{\ell} = g_{\ell} \frac{e}{2m_{\ell}} \vec{S}$$

electric dipole moment

Time reversal

 $\overrightarrow{S}$  –

 $\vec{B} - \vec{E} +$ 

 $\overrightarrow{d_{\ell}} = \eta_{\ell} \frac{e}{2m_{\ell}} \overrightarrow{S}$ 

+

In QFT



$$\frac{d_{\ell}}{d_{\ell'}} = \frac{m_{\ell}}{m_{\ell'}} \qquad \text{Naive scaling} \qquad \frac{m_e}{m_{\mu}} \sim 5 \times 10^{-3}$$

#### Lepton flavor violation

Highly suppressed in SM+ $m_{\nu}$  by GIM mechanism due to the smallness of  $m_{\nu}$ 



 $\mu \to e \gamma$  searched by MEG,  $\tau \to \ell^\prime \gamma~$  searched by Belle II

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{B}(\mu^{+} \to e^{+}\gamma) &< 4.2 \times 10^{-13} \quad |\mathcal{C}'_{e\gamma}| < 2.1 \times 10^{-10} \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau \to \mu\gamma) &< 4.2 \times 10^{-8} \quad |\mathcal{C}'_{e\gamma}| < 2.65 \times 10^{-6} \\ \mathcal{B}(\tau \to e\gamma) &< 3.3 \times 10^{-8} \quad |\mathcal{C}'_{e\gamma}| < 2.35 \times 10^{-6} \end{split}$$

 $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$  gives tight constraint on NP



once we introduce NP operator with flavor index, other flavor observables are also introduced

diagonal elements -1 g-2 and EDM off diagonal elements  $\rightarrow$  Lepton flavor violation

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_0.jpeg)

Isidori, Pages and Wilsch[2111.13724]

![](_page_45_Figure_0.jpeg)

$$\begin{split} \Delta a_{c} &= \frac{4m_{e}}{c} \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\Lambda^{2}} \operatorname{Re} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{C}_{e\gamma} \\ \mathcal{C}_{e\gamma} \end{bmatrix} \\ \Delta a_{c}^{Cs} &= a_{c}^{Exp} - a_{c}^{SM,CS} = (-8.8 \pm 3.6) \times 10^{-13} \\ \Delta a_{c}^{Kb} &= a_{c}^{Exp} - a_{c}^{SM,KD} = (4.8 \pm 3.0) \times 10^{-13} \\ \Delta a_{c}^{Kb} &= a_{c}^{Exp} - a_{c}^{SM,KD} = (4.8 \pm 3.0) \times 10^{-13} \\ \hline \\ electron (g - 2)_{e} \\ \hline \\ \mathcal{C}_{e\gamma}' &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{C}_{e\gamma}' \\ \mathcal{C}_{e\gamma}'$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Lepton flavor violation} \\ \mu \rightarrow e\gamma, \ \mu \rightarrow 3e, \ \mu N \rightarrow eN \\ e \text{electron} \ (g-2)_e, \ \text{EDM} \ d_e \\ \mathcal{C}'_{e\gamma} \\ \mathcal{L}_{R} \\ \mathcal{C}'_{e\gamma} \\ \mathcal{L}_{R} \\ \mathcal{C}'_{e\gamma} \\ \mathcal{C$$

NP ( realize muon 
$$(g - 2)_{\mu}$$
 anomaly need strong flavor alignment satisfy constraint from LFV

might be controlled by flavor symmetry

![](_page_48_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Introduction of muon g-2

### New physics interpretation of muon g-2

### Flavor symmetry and muon g-2

### Summary

### Flavor symmetry

Flavor physics play a role of

![](_page_49_Figure_2.jpeg)

Flavor symmetry would play an important role both in the SM and NP Connect SM mistery

 $\rightarrow$  flavor symmetry

### **NP Flavor Problem**

■Theoretical arguments based on the hierarchy problem
→ TeV scale NP

The measurements of quark flavor-violating observables show a remarkable overall success of the SM

New flavor-breaking sources of O(I) at the TeV scale are definitely excluded

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{L}_{eff} &= \mathscr{L}_{SM} + \sum_{i} \frac{C_{i}}{\Lambda^{2}} \mathcal{O}_{i}^{d=6} \quad \text{(NP)} \\ |C_{NP}| &\sim 1 \quad \longrightarrow \quad \Lambda_{NP} \sim \begin{cases} 500 \text{ TeV} &: B_{s} \\ 2000 \text{ TeV} &: B_{d} \\ 10^{4} - 10^{5} \text{ TeV} &: K^{0} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

If we insist with the theoretical prejudice that NP has to emerge in the TeV region, we have to conclude that NP have a highly non-generic flavor structure

![](_page_50_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_7.jpeg)

Flavor symmetry

## From MFV to $U(2)^5$

 $U(3)^5 = U(3)_{Q_L} \times U(3)_{u_R} \times U(3)_{d_R} \times U(3)_{d_R} \times U(3)_{L_L} \times U(3)_{e_R} \text{ flavor symmetry}$ 

- Largest flavor symmetry group compatible with the SM gauge symmetry
- MFV = minimal breaking of  $U(3)^5$  by SM Yukawa couplings

#### MFV virtue

Naturally small effects in FCNC observables assuming TeV-scale NP

#### MFV main problem

No explanation for Yukawa hierarchies (masses and mixing angles)

 $U(2)^5 = U(2)_{Q_L} \times U(2)_{u_R} \times U(2)_{d_R} \times U(2)_{L_L} \times U(2)_{e_R} \text{ flavor symmetry}$ 

- acting on 1st & 2nd generations only
- The exact symmetry limit is good starting point for the SM quark spectrum  $(m_u, m_d, m_c, m_s = 0 \& V_{CKM} = 1) \Rightarrow$  we only need small breaking terms

Barbieri, Isidori, Jones-Perez, Lodone, Straub [1105.2296]

 $\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3)$ 

SU(2) doublet singlet

 $O(2)^5$  symmetry gives "natural" explanation of why 3rd Yukawa couplings are large

acting on 1st & 2nd generations only

3rd Yukawa coupling is allowed by the symmetry

The symmetry is good approximation in the SM Yukawa

exact symmetry for  $m_u, m_d, m_c, m_s = 0$  &  $V_{CKM} = 1$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  we only need small breaking terms

![](_page_52_Figure_8.jpeg)

Naturally small effects in FCNC observables assuming TeV-scale NP

Barbieri, Isidori, Jones-Perez, Lodone, Straub [1105.2296]

Under 
$$U(2)^3 = U(2)_Q \times U(2)_{u_R} \times U(2)_{d_R}$$
 symmetry

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Yuk}} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{Q}^{(2)} & \bar{q}^3 \end{pmatrix} Y_u \begin{pmatrix} u^{(2)} \\ t \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \bar{Q}^{(2)} & \bar{q}^3 \end{pmatrix} Y_d \begin{pmatrix} d^{(2)} \\ b \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3)$$
SU(2) doublet singlet
$$Q^{(2)} = (Q^1, Q^2) \sim (2, 1, 1) \qquad Q^3 \sim (1, 1, 1)$$

$$Q^{(2)} = (Q^1, Q^2) \sim (2, 1, 1) \qquad Q^3 \sim (1, 1, 1)$$
$$u^{(2)} = (u^1, u^2) \sim (1, 2, 1) \qquad t \sim (1, 1, 1)$$

$$d^{(2)} = (d^1, d^2) \sim (1, 1, 2) \qquad b \sim (1, 1, 1)$$

Barbieri, Isidori, Jones-Perez, Lodone, Straub [1105.2296]

Under 
$$U(2)^3 = U(2)_Q \times U(2)_{u_R} \times U(2)_{d_R}$$
 symmetry

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Yuk}} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{Q}^{(2)} & \bar{q}^3 \end{pmatrix} Y_u \begin{pmatrix} u^{(2)} \\ t \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \bar{Q}^{(2)} & \bar{q}^3 \end{pmatrix} Y_d \begin{pmatrix} d^{(2)} \\ b \end{pmatrix}$ 

$$\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3)$$
  
SU(2) doublet singlet

$$Q^{(2)} = (Q^1, Q^2) \sim (2, 1, 1) \qquad Q^3 \sim (1, 1, 1)$$
$$u^{(2)} = (u^1, u^2) \sim (1, 2, 1) \qquad t \sim (1, 1, 1)$$
$$d^{(2)} = (d^1, d^2) \sim (1, 1, 2) \qquad b \sim (1, 1, 1)$$

Unbroken symmetry
 After U(2) breaking
 U(2) breaking (Spurion)

 
$$Y_d = y_b \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^U \begin{pmatrix} 2 \end{pmatrix}_q$$
 $\begin{pmatrix} \Delta_d & V_q \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 
 $V_q \sim (2, 1, 1),$ 
 $U(2)_d$ 
 $U(2)_d$ 
 $U(2, 1, 1),$ 

Barbieri, Isidori, Jones-Perez, Lodone, Straub [1105.2296]

Under 
$$U(2)^3 = U(2)_Q \times U(2)_{u_R} \times U(2)_{d_R}$$
 symmetry

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Yuk}} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{Q}^{(2)} & \bar{q}^3 \end{pmatrix} Y_u \begin{pmatrix} u^{(2)} \\ t \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \bar{Q}^{(2)} & \bar{q}^3 \end{pmatrix} Y_d \begin{pmatrix} d^{(2)} \\ b \end{pmatrix}$ 

$$\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3)$$
  
SU(2) doublet singlet

$$Q^{(2)} = (Q^1, Q^2) \sim (2, 1, 1) \qquad Q^3 \sim (1, 1, 1)$$
$$u^{(2)} = (u^1, u^2) \sim (1, 2, 1) \qquad t \sim (1, 1, 1)$$
$$d^{(2)} = (d^1, d^2) \sim (1, 1, 2) \qquad b \sim (1, 1, 1)$$

Unbroken symmetry  

$$Y_{d} = y_{b} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longrightarrow} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longleftarrow} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\bullet} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longleftarrow} \overset{U(2)_{q}}{\longleftarrow} \overset{U(2$$

 $\mathcal{O}(10^{-1}) \quad \mathcal{O}(10^{-2}) \quad \mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$ 

## NP with $U(2)^5$

The small breaking ensures small effects in rare processes

Flavor symmetries are not necessarily fundamental symmetries of UV theory, but this effective approach is useful way for systematic NP analysis

e.g. Classification SMEFT operators under U(3) and U(2) A. Faroughy, Isidori, Wilsch, KY [2005.05366]

2499 in SMEFT flavor symmetry reduce number of independent parameters  $U(3)^5$  and MFV drastic reduction : ~ 25 times smaller  $U(2)^5$  reduction : ~ one order smaller

Interesting implication for phono.

e.g. B-anomalies are compatible with U(2) flavor symmetry Fuentes-Martin, Isidori, Pages, KY [1909.02519] etc.

what about effects on lepton sector, Muon  $(g-2)_{\mu}$  ? Isidori, Pages and Wilsch 2111.13724

we also discuss EDM, LFV, electron  $(g-2)_{e}$  Tanimoto, KY 2310.16325

#### Lepton flavor structure of LR operator in U(2)

$$\frac{X_{\alpha\beta}^{n}}{(\ell_{\alpha}\Gamma e_{\beta})\eta^{n}} \quad (n = Y, e\gamma)$$

(flavor structure) × ( $\mathcal{O}(1)$  NP coefficients)

$$\begin{split} U(2)_{L_L} \otimes U(2)_{E_R} \text{ breaking (Spurion)} \\ V_{\ell} \sim (2,1), \qquad \Delta_e \sim (2,\bar{2}) \end{split}$$

 $\times \quad C^n, C^n_V, C^n_\Delta, C^n_{V\Delta}, C^n_{VV\Delta}$ 

$$(\mathcal{E}^{\overline{(2)}}, \overline{\ell}^{3}) \begin{pmatrix} C^{n}_{\Delta}(\Delta_{e})_{\alpha\beta} + C^{n}_{VV\Delta}(V_{\ell})_{\alpha}(V^{\dagger}_{\ell})_{\gamma}(\Delta_{e})_{\gamma\beta} & C^{n}_{V}(V_{\ell})_{\alpha} \\ \hline C^{n}_{V\Delta}(V^{\dagger}_{\ell})_{\alpha}(\Delta_{e})_{\alpha\beta} & C^{n} \end{pmatrix}_{LR} \begin{pmatrix} e^{(2)} \\ e^{3} \end{pmatrix}_{LR} \begin{pmatrix} e^{(2)} \\$$

parametrization of spurions

$$V_{\ell} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \epsilon_{\ell} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \Delta_{e} = O_{e}^{T} \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{e}^{\prime} & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_{e} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad O_{e} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{e} & s_{e} \\ -s_{e} & c_{e} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} C_{\Delta}^{n}c_{e}\delta_{e}' & -C_{\Delta}^{n}s_{e}\delta_{e} & 0\\ s_{e}\delta_{e}'(C_{\Delta}^{n}+C_{VV\Delta}^{n}\epsilon_{\ell}^{2}) & c_{e}\delta_{e}(C_{\Delta}^{n}+C_{VV\Delta}^{n}\epsilon_{\ell}^{2}) & C_{V}^{n}\epsilon_{\ell}\\ \hline C_{V\Delta}^{n}(s_{e}\epsilon_{\ell}\delta_{e}') & C_{V\Delta}^{n}(c_{e}\epsilon_{\ell}\delta_{e}) & C^{n} \end{pmatrix}_{LR}$$

#### Numerical study

 $C^y, C^y_{\Delta}, C^y_V, C^y_{V\Delta}, C^y_{VV\Delta}, C^y_{VVV}, C^{e\gamma}, C^{e\gamma}_{\Delta}, C^{e\gamma}_V, C^{e\gamma}_{V\Delta}, C^{e\gamma}_{VV\Delta}$  and  $C^{e\gamma}_{VVV}$ 

#### NP coefficients

magnitudes are  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ , phases are random

Yukawa  $C^{Y}, C^{Y}_{V}, C^{Y}_{\Delta}, C^{Y}_{V\Delta}, C^{Y}_{VV\Delta}$ dipole  $C^{\epsilon} y^{2}_{\tau} \simeq |C^{y}|^{2}, \qquad y^{2}_{\mu} \simeq |C^{y}_{\Delta}|^{2} c^{2}_{e} \delta^{2}_{\ell}, \qquad y^{2}_{e} \simeq |C^{y}_{\Delta}|^{2} c^{2}_{e} {\delta^{\prime}_{\ell}}^{2}$   $\frac{y^{2}_{e}}{y^{2}_{\mu}} \simeq \frac{{\delta^{\prime}_{\ell}}^{2}}{\delta^{2}_{\ell}}, \qquad \frac{y^{2}_{\mu}}{y^{2}_{\tau}} \simeq \frac{{\delta^{2}_{\ell}}}{|C^{y}_{\Delta}|^{2}} \sim \frac{\delta^{2}_{\ell}}{2}$  ) parameters

$$\frac{\delta'_e}{\delta_e} \simeq \frac{y_e}{y_\mu} \qquad \delta_e = (5.0 - 6.0) \times 10^{-2}, \qquad \delta'_e = (2.3 - 3.0) \times 10^{-4}$$

 $\epsilon_{\ell}$  and  $s_{e}$  are not constrained, but presume from quark sector

 $s_e = 0.01 - 0.1$ ,  $\epsilon_\ell = 0.01 - 0.1$ 

#### <u>Calculation</u>

take parameter regions which can realize  $(g - 2)_{\mu}$  anomaly

$$g - 2)_{\mu} \& \mu \to e\gamma \text{ in } \mathbf{U}(2)$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{e\gamma}' \simeq |C_{\Delta}^{e\gamma}| \delta_{e} \left[ \frac{1}{c_{e}} + c_{e} \epsilon_{\ell}^{2} \left( \left| \frac{C_{VV\Delta}^{e\gamma}}{C_{\Delta}^{e\gamma}} \right| \cos\left(\arg C_{VV\Delta}^{e\gamma}\right) - \left| \frac{C_{VV\Delta}'}{C_{\Delta}^{y}} \right| \frac{s_{e}^{2}}{c_{e}^{2}} \cos\left(\arg C_{VV\Delta}^{y}\right) \right) \right]$$

$$+ i |C_{\Delta}^{e\gamma}| c_{e} \delta_{e} \epsilon_{\ell}^{2} \left( \left| \frac{C_{VV\Delta}^{e\gamma}}{C_{\Delta}^{e\gamma}} \right| \sin\left(\arg C_{VV\Delta}^{e\gamma}\right) - \left| \frac{C_{VV\Delta}'}{C_{\Delta}^{y}} \right| \sin\left(\arg C_{VV\Delta}^{y}\right) \right)$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{e\gamma}' \simeq |C_{\Delta}^{e\gamma}| s_{e} \delta_{e} \epsilon_{\ell}^{2} \left( \frac{C_{VV\Delta}^{e\gamma}}{C_{\Delta}^{e\gamma}} - \frac{C_{VV\Delta}'}{C_{\Delta}^{y}} \right)$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{e\gamma}'_{LR} = egin{pmatrix} \mathcal{C}_{e\gamma}' & \mathcal{C}_{e\gamma}' & \mathcal{C}_{e\gamma}' \\ \mathcal{C}_{ee}' & \mathcal{C}_{e\gamma}' & \mathcal{C}_{e\gamma}' & \mathcal{C}_{e\gamma}' \\ \mathcal{C}_{e\gamma}' & \mathcal{C}_{e\gamma}' & \mathcal{C}_{e\gamma}' & \mathcal{C}_{e\gamma}' \\ \mathcal{C}_{e\gamma}' & \mathcal{C}_{e\gamma}' & \mathcal{C}_{e\gamma}' & \mathcal{C}_{e\gamma}' \end{pmatrix}$$

muon 
$$(g-2)_{\mu}$$
 and  $\mu \to e\gamma$ 

ALCONT. AND

strong flavor alignment

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{C}'_{e\gamma} \\ \mathbb{P}'_{e\mu} \\ \mathcal{C}'_{e\gamma} \\ \mathbb{P}'_{\mu\mu} \\ \mathcal{C}'_{e\gamma} \\ \mathbb{P}'_{\mu\mu} \\ \mathcal{C}'_{e\gamma} \\ \mathbb{P}'_{\mu\mu} \\ \mathcal{C}'_{e\gamma} \\ \mathbb{P}'_{e\gamma} \\ \mathbb{P}'_$$

$$\left| \frac{C_{VV\Delta}^{e\gamma}}{C_{\Delta}^{e\gamma}} - \frac{C_{VV\Delta}^{y}}{C_{\Delta}^{y}} \right| \lesssim 10^{-2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{from} \mu \to e\gamma \\ & \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} |\mathcal{C}'_{e\gamma}_{e\mu(\mu e)}| < 2.1 \times 10^{-10} \,\mathrm{TeV^{-2}} \\ & \operatorname{from} \operatorname{muon} (g-2)_{\mu} \\ & \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \operatorname{Re} \left[ \mathcal{C}'_{e\gamma}_{\mu\mu} \right] \approx 1.0 \times 10^{-5} \,\mathrm{TeV^{-2}} \end{aligned}$$

tight alignment condition

Isidori, Pages and Wilsch [2111.13724]

$$(g-2)_{\mu} \& \mu \to e\gamma \text{ in } U(2)$$

$$C_{e\gamma}^{t} \simeq |C_{\Delta}^{er}|_{\delta_{c}} \left[ \frac{1}{c_{c}} + c_{c}^{2} \left( \left| \frac{C_{e\gamma}^{er}}{C_{\Delta}} \right| \cos(\arg C_{VA}^{er}) - \left| \frac{C_{VVA}^{e}}{C_{\Delta}} \right| \frac{s_{c}^{2}}{c_{c}^{2}} \cos(\arg C_{VA}^{er}) \right) \right] + i|C_{\Delta}^{er}|_{\delta_{c}} c_{c}^{2} \left( \frac{C_{e\gamma}^{er}}{C_{\Delta}^{er}} \right) = \left( \frac{C_{e\gamma}^{er}}{C_{\Delta}^{er}} \right) = \left( \frac{C_{e\gamma}^{er}}{C_{e\gamma}^{er}} \right) = \left( \frac{C_{e\gamma}^{er}}{C_{e\gamma}^$$

Due to  $C_{3rd}$  effect, we still need alignment condition even if  $\frac{C_{VV\Delta}^{e\gamma}}{C_{\Delta}^{e\gamma}} = \frac{C_{VV\Delta}^{y}}{C_{\Delta}^{y}}$ 

muon 
$$(g-2)_{\mu}$$
 and  $\mu \to e\gamma$ 

![](_page_61_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $(g-2)_{\mu}$  & EDM  $d_{e}$  in U(2)

![](_page_62_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### muon $(g-2)_{\mu}$ and EDM $d_e$

![](_page_62_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Figure_0.jpeg)

Predicted value is small of one order compared with the present observed one at present Wait for the precise observation of the fine structure constant to test the framework

![](_page_64_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Figure_1.jpeg)

→ Belle II ( $BR \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-9})$ )

$$(g-2)_{\mu}$$
 in U(2)

U(2) provides partial alignment in flavor space, but not enough for  $C_{e\gamma,e\mu} \ll C_{e\gamma,\mu\mu}$ 

Third family contribution is significant because of non-negligible left-handed 2-3 mixing  $\epsilon_{\ell}$ 

Predictions of LFV and EDM  $\frac{\mu \to e\gamma \qquad \tau \to \mu\gamma \qquad \tau \to e\gamma \qquad \text{EDM } d_e}{BR \sim 10^{-13} \qquad BR \sim 10^{-8} \qquad BR \sim 10^{-11} \qquad |d_e/e| \lesssim 10^{-31} \text{cm}}$ 

Possibly observations in the near future

![](_page_66_Figure_0.jpeg)

Kobayashi, Otsuka, Tanimoto, KY

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathcal{C}'_{e\gamma}}{\mathcal{C}'_{e\gamma}}_{\mu\mu} &= \frac{\tilde{\beta}_e}{\tilde{\alpha}_e} \left| 1 - \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_e}{\tilde{\alpha}_{e(m)}} \frac{\tilde{\beta}_{e(m)}}{\tilde{\beta}_e} \right| \quad < 2.1 \times 10^{-5} \quad \text{if } \delta_{\beta} - \delta_{\alpha} | < 1.4 \times 10^{-3} \end{aligned}$$
without tuning between  $\delta_{\alpha,\beta}$ ,  $|\delta_{\alpha}| < \mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$ ,  $|\delta_{\beta}| < \mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$ 

![](_page_67_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Introduction of muon g-2

### New physics interpretation of muon g-2

### Flavor symmetry and muon g-2

### Summary

### Summary

Muon g-2 anomaly provides the most longstanding hint of New Physics

Possible NP :

enhancement mechanism or Light NP particle strongly constrained by low energy physics and LHC search

NP for muon g-2 anomaly can also lead to potentially effect in leptonic EDMs and LFV

→ need strong alignment in flavor space

Flavor symmetry ?

Intensity frontier is crucial to probe NP